

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
5

6 **CHUCK CONGDON, ET AL.,**

7 Plaintiffs,

8 vs.

9 **UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL.,**

10 Defendants.
11

CASE NO. 16-cv-02499-YGR

ORDER RE: DKT. No. 171

12 The Court is in receipt of the parties' Joint Stipulation and [Proposed Order] Regarding
13 Pretrial Conference Statement and Other Deadlines. (Dkt. No. 171.) Therein, the parties request
14 that the Court continue the pretrial schedule until after it rules on plaintiffs' motion for attorneys'
15 fees, to the extent such motion is filed.

16 However, the Court notes that it vacated the "November 27, 2018 trial and related dates" in
17 its previous order. (Dkt. No. 170 at ECF p. 5.) Thus, the parties' request is **DENIED AS MOOT**. In
18 the event any issues remain after the Court rules on any motion for attorneys' fees, the Court will
19 set a new schedule, keeping the parties' proposed dates in mind.

20 This Order terminates Docket Number 171.

21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: October 15, 2018



24 **YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS**
25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**
26
27
28